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The parameters of a cavitating liquid are determined on the basis of measurements of the initial flow parameters and forces acting on the nozzle. The volume concentrations of a cavitating flow are also measured by a conductometric method simultaneously with a determination of their values from measurements of the forces acting on the nozzle.

The solution of a number of engineering problems requires knowledge of the structure and quantitative characteristics of a flow both outside and in the interior of a chamber. The methods used to investigate cavitating flows [1-5] are based primarily on the hydrodynamics of a single-phase incompressible fluid. In addition to visual observations, the volumetric fluid flow rate and pressure along the flow have been measured in this connection.

We have obtained the characteristics of one-dimensional cavitating flows by measuring and calculating the forces acting on a moving nozzle in which there is a cavitating flow. A diagram of the experimental arrangement is given in Fig. 1. The tests were performed on two transparent nozzles ( $l=0.300 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{4}$ $=0.150 \mathrm{~m}, d_{3}=0.100 \mathrm{~m}$ and $d_{3}=0.112 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and on one opaque ( $l=1.50, d_{2}=d_{4}=0.170 \mathrm{~m}, d_{3}=0.140 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The method of investigation is to determine the characteristics of the cavitating flow at the nozzle exit from measurements of the forces acting on the nozzle and the flow parameters at the nozzle entry. A movable sealed coupling is formed between the nozzle and the pipeline by means of packing glands. Since the flow diameter is large ( $\mathrm{d}=0.150 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the ratio of the friction force in the glands to the force acting on the nozzle as measured with a strain gauge does not exceed 5 to $10 \%$. The rate of flow of water into the nozzle is determined from the pressure difference in the convergent section ( $d_{1}=0.400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) between cross section 1-1 and $2-2$. The pressure in the pipe sections and the working section (cross sections 1, 2, 3, 4) is measured with standard laboratory manometers.

In the experiments we used tap water at 5 to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Temperature measurements in the flow and inside the chamber by differential thermocouples show that the temperature inside the chamber is 1 or $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower, on the average, than in the freestream flow.


Fig. 1. Experimental arrangement. 1-4) Labeled cross sections; 5) probe for measurement of the volume concentration of mixture components [6]; 6) suspension system with strain gauge.
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Fig. 2. Experimental and analytical data for nozzles ( $l=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$, $\left.d_{2}=d_{4}=0.150 \mathrm{~m}\right)$. a) $d_{2} / d_{3}=1.33$; b) 1.5 . 1) Water velocity $\bar{v}_{3}$ in narrowest section of nozzle (cross section 3-3); 2) pressure induced reaction component $\bar{R}_{p}$; 3) velocity $\bar{v}_{4}$ of mixture at nozzle exit (cross section 4-4); 4) reaction $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ acting on nozzle; 5) entry velocity $\bar{v}_{2}$ of water into nozzle (cross section $2-2$ ); 6) head loss factor $\zeta_{h}$; 7) energy loss factor $\zeta_{e}$; 8) reaction component $\vec{R}_{m}$ due to change of momentum; 9) volume concentration $c_{g}$ of gas (vapor and air) at nozzle exit; 10) ratio $\bar{p}_{4}$ of nozzle exit pressure to nozzle entry pressure.

The equation for the reaction force acting on the nozzle is written as follows in the one-dimensional approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=m\left(v_{4}-v_{2}\right)+S_{4} p_{4}-S_{2} p_{2}=R_{m}+R_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass flow through the nozzle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=S_{2} v_{2} \rho_{\mathrm{L}}=S_{3} v_{3} \rho_{\mathrm{L}}=S_{4} v_{4}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{\mathrm{L}}+c_{\mathrm{g}} \rho_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{L}}+c_{\mathrm{g}}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2) is constrained by the condition that the liquid medium and vapor formed (air) move across cross section 4-4 at the same velocities.

With regard for Eq. (2) the reaction force component induced by the change of momentum of the mass moving through the nozzle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}=m\left(v_{4}-v_{2}\right)=\rho_{\mathrm{L}} v_{2}^{2} S_{2}\left(\frac{v_{4}}{v_{3}}-1\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the second component of the reaction force is


Fig. 3. Volume concentration $c_{g}$ of vapor and air at nozzle exit $\left(l=1.50 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{4}=0.17\right.$ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}_{2} / \mathrm{d}_{3}=1.2$ for $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{2}=1.24$ ); $\overline{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r} / \mathbf{r}_{4}$ is the dimensionless nozzle radius at cross section 4-4. 1) From conductometric measurements [6]; 2) from measurements of forces on nozzle; 3) average zalue for curve 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}=S_{2}\left(p_{4}-p_{2}\right) \text { ifor } S_{2}=S_{4} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the experiment we measured the pressure-induced component of the reaction force according to Eq. (5) and the total force $R$, from which it is then possible to determine $R_{m}$ and so the velocity and density of the fluid emerging from the nozzle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{\mathrm{L}}+c_{\mathrm{g}} \rho_{\mathrm{g}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{L}}}=\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{L}} S_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{2}}{\left(R-R_{p}\right) S_{4}+\rho_{\mathrm{L}} S_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, the volume concentration of air (vapor) in the cavitating flow is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{\left(R-R_{p}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{L}} S_{4}}{\left(\rho_{\mathrm{L}}-\rho_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\left[\left(R-R_{p}\right) S_{4}+\rho_{\mathrm{L}} S^{2} v_{2}^{2}\right.} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inasmuch as the pressure of the cavitating liquid is usually small, the density of the gaseous components can be neglected in most cases.

The experimental and analytical data on one-dimensional cavitating flows are given in Figs. 2a and 2 b in the dimensionless form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{R}=\frac{R}{S_{2} H_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \quad \bar{R}_{m}=\frac{R_{m}}{S_{2} H_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \quad \bar{R}_{p}=\frac{R_{p}}{S_{2} H_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \bar{p}_{4}=\frac{p_{4}}{p_{2}} ; \\
\bar{v}_{2}=\frac{v_{2}}{v_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \bar{v}_{3}=\frac{v_{3}}{v_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \bar{v}_{4}=\frac{v_{4}}{v_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \\
\zeta_{\mathrm{h}}=1-\frac{H_{4}}{H_{2}} ; \quad \zeta_{\mathrm{e}}=1-\frac{H_{4} S_{4} v_{4}}{H_{2} S_{2} v_{2}} ; \\
\bar{H}_{2}=\frac{H_{2}}{H_{\mathrm{c}}} ; \quad H_{2}=p_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathrm{L}} v_{2}^{2} ; \quad H_{\mathrm{L}}=p_{\mathrm{L}}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathrm{L}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} ; \\
H_{4}=p_{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{\mathrm{L}} \rho_{\mathrm{L}}+c_{\mathrm{g}} \rho_{\mathrm{g}}\right) v_{4}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The pressure and velocity at the inception of cavitation in cross section $3-3$ are identical for both transparent nozzles $\left(d_{2} / d_{3}=1.50\right.$ and $\left.d_{2} / d_{3}=1.33\right): p_{c}=0.2 N / m ; v_{c}=24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The head loss factor $\zeta_{h}$ and energy loss factor $\zeta_{e}$ are best represented as follows in the given case:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{\mathrm{h}}=1-\frac{x_{4}+\bar{v}_{2} \bar{v}_{4}}{\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \bar{H}_{2}}=1-\frac{x_{4}\left[1-c \mathrm{~g}\left(1-\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\right]+\bar{v}_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left[1-c_{\mathrm{g}}\left(1-\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\right] \bar{H}_{2}}  \tag{8}\\
& \zeta_{\mathrm{e}}=1-\left(1-\zeta_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \frac{S_{4} v_{4}}{S_{2} v_{2}}=1-\frac{x_{4}\left[1-c_{\mathrm{g}}(1-\overline{\rho g})\right]+\bar{v}_{2}^{2}}{\left(1-x_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left[1-c_{\mathrm{g}}\left(1-\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)\right]^{2} \bar{H}_{2}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\chi_{4}=\frac{2 p_{4}}{\rho_{\mathrm{L}} v_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{2 p_{\mathrm{c}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{L}}^{v_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}} ; \quad \bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{g}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{L}}}
$$

The results of conductometric measurements [6] of the volume concentrations at points of cross section $4-4$ and a simultaneous determination of the volume concentrations by measurement of the forces acting on the nozzle are presented in Fig. 3.

The following conclusions are based on these experimental investigations of internal cavitating flows. The flow parameters suffer a discontinuity (jump) at the inception of cavitation.

The pressure in the expanding part of the nozzle, as observed in the experiments of Hochschild [2], is not restored. However, the almost complete loss of potential energy inside the chamber at the exit from the nozzle is largely offset by growth of the flow kinetic energy ( $\mathrm{v}_{4} / \mathrm{v}_{2}>1 ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}>0$ ). The total losses of potential and kinetic energy (see Figs. $2 a$ and $2 b$, curve 7) range from 50 to $15 \%$, depending on the constriction of the nozzle $\left(\mathrm{d}_{4} / \mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ and the cavitation flow regime $\left(\bar{H}_{2}\right)$. With an increase in the degree of expansion of the duct the lost head increases as well, while the energy losses decrease; this behavior is explained by the compressibility (expansibility) of the vapor-air-water mixture.

It is evident from a comparison of the flow velocities (curves 1, 3, and 5 in Figs. 2a and 2b) that the exit velocity of the vapor-air-water mixture is greater than the water entry velocity to the nozile, but less than the flow velocity at the narrowest cross section, for all cavitation flow regimes.

The conductometric measurements of the volume concentrations of the mixture components at points of the flow cross section show that the chamber has a wall layer 8 to 10 mm thick, inside which the vapor and air are 70 to $80 \%$ by volume. The flow core is denser and the vapor-air in it less by volume: 30 to $60 \%$, depending on the nozzle constriction $\left(\mathrm{d}_{4} / \mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ and the cavitation flow regime $\left(\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{2}\right)$.

A comparison of the volume concentration measurements by the two different independent methods exhibits reasonably good agreement.

NOTATION
$\mathrm{p} \quad$ is the pressure;
v is the velocity;
$\rho_{L_{L}} \quad$ is the density of the liquid;
$\rho_{\mathrm{g}} \quad$ is the density of the gas (vapor);
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the volume concentration of liquid;
$c_{g}$ is the volume concentration of gas (vapor);
$S$ is the flow cross-sectional area.
The numerical subscripts indicate the labeled cross sections of the working length, as illustrated in Fig. 1.
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